Annex 1: M& E Framework Key indicators for the achievement of PDO

		. 1						
PDO	Outcome Indicator	Target Value ¹						
	% increase in yield of major crops (rice, wheat, maize and potato)	25%						
Enhance food	% increase in yield of pulses and other nutritious crops ²	15%						
and nutrition	"rition" (legumes)							
security of poor	% increase in yield of vegetables ³	25%						
and vulnerable	% increase in area of vegetables	20%						
households in	% increase in milk yield (per animal per lactation) (cow & buffalo)	40% & 25%						
selected	% increase in goat productivity (at age of 12 months)	50% ⁴						
locations by	% increase in egg production (per hen per year)	100%						
improving	% increase in yield of fishes ⁵	25%						
agricultural	Total number of farm HH reached with project interventions	139500						
productivity,	% female participation in project interventions	33%						
increasing	Farmers (women farmers) ⁶ with increased productivity in							
resilience of	Crops and Fisheries	30000 (12000)						
farming	Livestock	25000 (18000)						
households and	% decrease in stunting rate for children under 5 years	25%						
their income	% decrease in rate of women with low BMI	10%						
and improving	% decrease in anemia among women							
nutrition	% increase in dietary diversity among women	5%						
practices	% increase in minimum acceptable diet							
	15% 60000							
	Number of 1000-days beneficiaries reached with project specific BCC interventions							
Project Compone	nts							
Component	Output: Indicator	Target Value						
	relopment, adaptation and income generation							
	Number of improved crop varieties recommended	10						
Tecnnoloav								
• .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20						
generation and	Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock)							
generation and testing	Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended	20						
generation and testing Technology	Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops	20 17%						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted 	20 17% 8						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) 	20 17%						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted 	20 17% 8 16						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries 	20 17% 8 16						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted 	20 17% 8 16						
Technology generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions 	20 17% 8 16 2200 24500						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions Number of Community Agriculture Extension Service Centers 	20 17% 8 16						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers Institutional strengthening	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions Number of Community Agriculture Extension Service Centers established and operationalized 	20 17% 8 16 2200 24500						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers Institutional strengthening Leveraging Mai	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions Number of Community Agriculture Extension Service Centers established and operationalized *Ket and Reduction of Risk and Vulnerability 	20 17% 8 16 2200 24500						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers Institutional strengthening Leveraging Mail	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions Number of Community Agriculture Extension Service Centers established and operationalized **Ret and Reduction of Risk and Vulnerability **George of Community Agriculture Service Centers and Reduction of Risk and Vulnerability 	20 17% 8 16 2200 24500 28						
generation and testing Technology adaptation and dissemination Production support to farmers Institutional strengthening Leveraging Mai	 Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices recommended Seed replacement rate of various crops Number of improved crop varieties adopted Number of improved location-problem-specific (crop, fish, livestock) package of practices adapted Number of groups supported in crops, livestock and fisheries Number of households supported through non-farm interventions Number of Community Agriculture Extension Service Centers established and operationalized *Ket and Reduction of Risk and Vulnerability 	20 17% 8 16 2200 24500						

¹ The target value is the end of project target value. Methodological Note: the increase in yield or any 'characteristic' is measured with reference to a control sample (which represents what the general situation would be without any project intervention. Hence, these measures reflect the difference between "with project" and "without project" situation, rather than the erroneous comparison of "after project" and "before project" situation.

² pulses includes Lentil, Red gram, Black gram, Soybean, Kidney bean and minor crops includes buckwheat, finger millet, millet and barley ³to be defined

⁴For cattle, current baseline production = 450 litres/lactation, target production = 1150 lit /lactation. For goats, current/baseline value is weight gain of 40-60 gms/day and target value is 90 gms/day.

⁵Major Carps,

⁶Target values are given for both total number of farmers, and number of women farmers. The latter are indicated in parenthesis after the total number.

	o Crop and Fisheries	4000
	Livestock	5000
	Number of groups using ICT for agriculture and nutrition information	700
	Number of Early Warning and Weather Risk Management Information	10
	Package developed and disseminated	
Transfer and	% increase in farmers' share in consumers rupee	20
transaction cost	Number of collection centers/marketing sheds/storage house	10
reduction	established	
	% decrease in post-harvest losses in agricultural products	20
3. Improved Food	Safety and Nutrition Practices	
NFS and HNG	Number of Students' Nutrition Field School (NFS) established	40
	Number of Village Model Farm (VMF) established	5000
	Number of Home Nutrition Garden (HNG) established	60000
	Diversity of foods produced on-farm at HH level	
Promotion of	Women's groups trained on food preservation and processing methods	5000
Processing and	Number of food processing and preservation unit established	140
Preservation		
Practices		
Use of Nutrition	% increase in rate of exclusive breastfeeding	80
Services and	Minimum meal frequency among children	65
Promotion of	Number of recipes developed from NNC at the household level	10
Healthy Diet	Number of local recipes improved and promoted	10
	% increase in consumption of animal source foods	45
	% increase in consumption of micronutrient rich vegetables and fruits	45
	Number of 1000-days women counseled on nutrition at HH level	
Food safety	% Increase in awareness on food safety at household (consumer) level	20
improved	% Increase in food safety related knowledge and attitudes at household	20
	level	
Technical Assista	nce, Capacity Building and Project Management	
Project Office	Number of project-specific technical and training guidelines revised and	As per the
and Technical	developed	need
Assistance	Number of government personnel provided trainings with revised	
	package	
Capacity	Number of government personnel for high-level training	As per the
Building	Number of short and long-term training	need
Fiduciary	% of payments disbursed to Payment Service Providers on time	
safeguard	% of allocated budget reaching to beneficiaries on time	
	Number of public hearing carried out	
Project	Project management personnel and support services	As per the
Management		need

Annex 2:

Annex 3: Plan for detailed preparation and finalization of project

Justification

It is difficult to source sufficient and timely funds through the government budget process and no other funding sources are available to finance project preparation.

Proposed activities and associated budgets:

SN	Preparation activities	Budget (USD million)		
1	Feasibility studies and detailed designs, including technical studies	100,000		
2	Environmental and social impact assessments, gender studies and studies	50,000		
	on project-related crosscutting issues			
3	Pre-contract services including revision of designs and tender documents	30,000		
4	Institutional support, capacity development and human resource	100,000		
	development during the project preparatory phase			
5	Development of the project monitoring and evaluation framework;	50,000		
6	Carrying out the design and completion of the baseline studies	100,000		
7	Preliminary studies for the structuring of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)	70,000		
	and concessions			

Grant size requested: Preparation grantsize is limited to a maximum of US\$500,000.

List of full time government team members(who will prepare and finalize the project with the supervising entity. The list should include their current roles)

Circity.	ticy. The list should include their current lotes,							
SN	Name	Designation/Current Role						
1	BinodBhattarai	SrAgroeconomist, MoAD						
2								
3								

Expected project preparation time (including time needed for reviews and any subsequent clearances needed from government committees and parliament)

Annex 3: Key Indicators for showing food and nutrition security and vulnerability in Project Proposed districts

District	HDI	NAPA2010 vulnerability index	Disasters caused economic loss	% of population below poverty line	Food Balance (mt), 2014	Stunting	Wasting	Underweight	Anemia in U2 children	Anemia in women	Low BMI among Women	Rate of Early Initiation of Breastfeeding	Minimum Acceptable Diet	% of children 6- 23 months consuming vitamin A rich foods	% of children 6- 23 months consuming iron rich foods
Dolakha	0.459	0.855	0.364	26	-21561	45.5	7.9	34.7	63.7	19.2	14.9	42.8	25.5	47	20.7
Sindhupalchowk	0.455	0.403	0.271	25.4	-4313	31.4	10.3	24	63.7	19.2	14.9	42.8	25.5	47	20.7
Rasuwa	0.461	0.426	0.004	31.6	-45468	45.5	7.9	34.7	63.7	19.2	14.9	42.8	25.5	47	20.7
Dhading	0.461	0.785	0.044	18.8	-25256	31.3	15	22.5	66.6	19.5	11.5	40.3	36	57.6	30.4
Nuwakot	0.466	0.337	0.177	20.3	14063	31.3	15	22.5	66.6	19.5	11.5	40.3	36	57.6	30.4
Gorkha	0.481	0.733	0.008	20.4	-5035	36	7.6	16.8	63	35.9	8.3	40.3	39	57.6	30.4
Dhanusha	0.431	0.635	0.022	23.1	-12160	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Mahottari	0.388	0.785	1.000	16.2	29578	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Sarlahi	0.402	0.410	0.071	17.7	49221	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Rautahat	0.386	0.536	0.034	33.4	-37256	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Bara	0.457	0.432	0.011	29.9	24098	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Parsa	0.464	0.604	0.024	29.2	2415	40.5	10.4	32	67.7	42.6	26.4	31.3	8.8	34.7	13.2
Saptari	0.437	0.852	0.081	39.5	7817	31.4	10.3	24	74.8	44.9	19.3	46.8	25.1	48.7	26.9
Siraha	0.408	0.749	0.139	34.6	-42505	31.4	10.3	24	74.8	44.9	19.3	46.8	25.1	48.7	26.9
National	0.490			25.2		40.5	10.9	28.8	68.6	35	18.2	44.5	24.4	46.7	24.1

Annex 4: Major Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Security Related Projects/Programs in Nepal

SN	Name of Project/Program	Development Partner/Institution	Project End	Project Budget (USD million)	Implementation Status	Project Area
1	Raising Income of Small and Medium Farmers Project (RISMFP)	ADB	2018	20.1	Ongoing	Mid and Far Western Regions
2	High Mountain Agribusiness and Livelihood Improvement (HIMALI)	ADB	2017	20	Ongoing	Eastern, Central, Western and Mid Western Regions
3	Community Managed Irrigated Agriculture Sector Project-Additional Financing (CMIASP-AF)	ADB	2021	30 million loan; OFID; 30 million co-financing	Ongoing	Eastern and Central Regions
4	Community Irrigation Project (CIP)	ADB	2018	26.4	Ongoing	12 districts
5	High Value Agriculture Commodity Project (HVAP)	IFAD	2018	18.9	Ongoing	Mid Western Region
6	Rani JamraGularia Irrigation Project (RJKIP)	World Bank	2017	2.7	Ongoing	Mid and Far West Regions
7	Project on Promoting Climate Resilience (PPCR)	World Bank	2018	6.0	Ongoing	
8	Agriculture and Food Security Project (AFSP)	GAFSP	2018	58.0	Ongoing	Mid and Far West Regions
9	KisanKoLagiUnnatBiuKaryakram (Improved Seed for Farmers) (KUBK)	IFAD	2019	57.0	Ongoing	
10	Nepal Agriculture Market Development Program (NAMDP)	SDC	2020	8.7	Ongoing	
11	Nepal Agriculture Service Development Program (NASDP)	SDC	2020	9.59	Ongoing	
12	Home Garden Program(HGP)	SDC	2018	2.8	Ongoing	
13	KISAN	USAID	2017	20	Ongoing	Mid and Far West Regions
14	SABAL	USAID	2020	59	Ongoing	Eastern and Central Regions
15	Suaahara II	USAID	2021	64	Ongoing	Eastern, Central, Western and Mid and Far Western Regions
16	PAHAL	USAID	2020	35	Ongoing	Mid and Far West Regions